UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,797	07/19/2006	Salvador Aldrett-Lee	DOW63686NP	1293
31684 ARKEMA INC	7590 08/23/201 •	EXAMINER		
	ARTMENT - 26TH FI	GALLIS, DAVID E		
2000 MARKET PHILADELPH	IA, PA 19103-3222		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

carol.hill@arkema.com steven.boyd@arkema.com thomas.roland@arkema.com

Office Action Summary		Α	application No.	Applicant(s)				
			10/571,797	ALDRETT-LEE E	ALDRETT-LEE ET AL.			
		E	xaminer	Art Unit				
			AVID E. GALLIS	1625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appea	rs on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Issions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comp period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. atutory period will a will, by statute, car	E OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1). In no event, however, may a reply b 1) upply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f 1) use the application to become ABANDO	ON. The timely filed rom the mailing date of this entry (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	ad on 14 May	2010					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 May 2010</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
′=		<i>,</i> —		prosecution as to th	ne merite is			
٥/ك	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Diopositi	·	oo anaon Ex p	Jane Quayro, 1000 C.B. 11	100 0.0. 210.				
· · _	on of Claims							
•	Claim(s) <u>16,18-22 and 25-36</u> is/are	-						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>16,18-22 and 25-36</u> is/are	rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<i>c</i>						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a)∏ accept	ed or b)□ objected to by th	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the dra	wing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exam	niner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
· .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•	(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen				,				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10-040)		al Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 16, 18 through 22 and 25 through 36 are pending. Claim 16, 17 and 25 through 28 have been amended. Claims 17 and 24 have been canceled. Applicants' claim to foreign priority by application PCT/US2003/030076 filed September 24, 2003 is acknowledged. Applicants' amendments and arguments filed May 14, 2010 have been entered and carefully considered.

Prior Rejections

- 2. With regard to the prior rejection of claims 16, 29, and 34 through 36 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), Applicants have amended claim 16 to require a select group of monomers and alloy of 25% to 50% copper, and argue that Kambara et al. do not teach these specific limitations. Applicants' argument is persuasive. Therefore, the rejection of claims 16, 29, and 34 through 36 as anticipated by Kambara et al. is hereby withdrawn. However, see new rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) below.
- 3. With regard to the prior rejection of claims 24 through 28 under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, Applicants have canceled claim 24 outright, and have amended claims 25 through 28 to depend from claim 16. Therefore, the rejection of claims 25 through 28 as indefinite is hereby withdrawn.
- 4. With regard to the prior rejection of claims 16 through 22 and 24 through 36 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), Applicants have canceled claims 17 and 24 outright, and argue that Nakahara et al. disclose the use of nickel-chromium-iron alloy having specific levels of copper and would not lead one to employ an alloy such as Monel®. Applicants' argument is not found persuasive. It would be obvious to try other copper containing

Art Unit: 1625

alloys with a high likelihood of success. Neither the Applicants, nor the prior art has reported a quantitative evaluation of copper content, and in the absence of such, nothing can be considered unique about copper contents of in the range of 25% to 50%. Therefore, the rejection of claims 16, 18 through 22 and 25 through 36 as obvious over Nakahara et al. is maintained for reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 16, 29, and 34 through 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kambara et al. (US 5,922,912, July 13, 1999, cited by Applicants').
- 7. Claims 16, 29 and 34 through 36 are obvious over Kambara et al. teaching the concentration of aqueous acrylamide (AAM, an ethylenically unsaturated monomer), wherein the polymerization of which is inhibited by copper surfaces (heat exchange and piping) in the presence of an oxygen containing gas (air)(see column 4, lines 20-28). Acrylamide is an obvious variant of acrylic acid both of which have mechanistically equivalent polymerization reactions. Kambara et al. teaches an evaporator system with copper-made piping and a copper-made inner surface in contact with the AAM in which air (21% oxygen) is introduced to the concentrated AAM prior to its introduction (or reintroduction) to the copper lined gas-liquid separator (see Figure 3 and column 11, lines 9-34). Kambara et al. also teach the presence of copper ions which are also clearly

Art Unit: 1625

disclosed to be present in example 3 of the instant disclosure. Furthermore, the requirement for 25% to 50% copper is mere design selection since it is obvious that lower copper content has an inhibiting effect on polymerization.

Conclusion

- 8. No claims are allowed.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Gallis whose telephone number is 571-272-9068. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00-4:00.

Art Unit: 1625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on 571-272-1600. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Janet L. Andres/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1625

David E. Gallis
Patent Examiner